

**From:** Terri-A White/R3/USEPA/US  
**Sent:** 2/3/2012 6:30:23 PM  
**To:** "Laura Legere" <llegere@timeshamrock.com>  
**CC:**  
**Subject:** Re: Dimock arsenic level

Thanks, will get something to you for sure.  
Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**From:** Laura Legere [llegere@timeshamrock.com]  
**Sent:** 02/03/2012 06:26 PM EST  
**To:** Terri-A White  
**Subject:** Re: Dimock arsenic level

Definitely. I'm actually still at work, and I can check later if you need some more time.

Laura

On Fri, Feb 3, 2012 at 6:25 PM, Terri-A White <[White.Terri-A@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:White.Terri-A@epamail.epa.gov)> wrote:

Laura,

What's the likelihood that your editor will be able to get an EPA statement in your story given it's past 6?

Sent by EPA Wireless E-Mail Services

**From:** Laura Legere [llegere@timeshamrock.com]  
**Sent:** 02/03/2012 03:44 PM EST  
**To:** Terri-A White; David Sternberg  
**Subject:** Dimock arsenic level

Hi Terri,

Cabot Oil and Gas Corp. discovered that a high arsenic reading (37 ug/L) in a Dimock water supply was actually an error caused by a water contractor transposing test results when they were uploaded from the field. The sample also came not from a water well but from provided public water in a tank. The EPA cited the arsenic level as cause for providing water to Resident 8 in its action memo for the Dimock investigation. Do you know if this finding by Cabot will change whether or not the home will continue to receive replacement water from the EPA?

I'm sorry this came across so late on Friday. If you can find anything out by 6 or so, I'd appreciate it.

Thanks,

Laura Legere

Staff Writer

Scranton Times-Tribune

570-348-9100 x 5184

[llegere@timeshamrock.com](mailto:llegere@timeshamrock.com)